

**AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:**

Please find accompanying this response a new sheet containing additional Figs. 9 and 10. A replacement sheet containing amended Fig. 5 is also provided which shows line IX-IX along which Fig. 9 is depicted. The drawing amendments include features which are specified in the claims, and which address the drawing objections stated in the Office Action, in particular, depicting the plurality of support rails, angle rails oriented opposite to one another and support rails having generally U-shaped profiles.

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-11 remain pending in this application. Claims 1-11 are rejected.

Claims 1-11 are amended herein to express the invention in alternative wording and to address matters of form unrelated to substantive patentability issues.

Applicants herein traverse and respectfully request reconsideration of the rejection of the claims and objection cited in the above-referenced Office Action.

Claims 1-11 are rejected as indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention. The Office Action cites various informalities in the claim language including awkward wording and lack of antecedent bases. The Office Action further indicates that claims 1-11 contain allowable subject matter and would be allowed if amended to overcome the §112, second paragraph rejection.

Claims 1-11 are amended to place the claims into conformance with U.S. claiming practice. The amendments were made with consideration of the various informalities noted in the Office Action. It is respectfully submitted that the amendments remove or correct the informalities noted in the Office Action. Therefore, and in light of the Office Action statement indicating that the claims contain allowable subject matter, reconsideration of the rejection of claims 1-11 and their allowance are earnestly requested.

The Office Action states that the specification is objected to for various informalities, including lack of antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. The specification is amended to provide a detailed description of the subject matter noted. No new matter is added, as disclosure of the noted features is already given in the paragraph bridging pages 3 and 4 in the originally filed disclosure. Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully solicited.

The Examiner objects to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a) for failing to show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. It is stated that the drawings do not show the plurality of support rails, angle rails oriented opposite to one another and support rails having generally U-shaped profiles according to claims 9 and 10. A new sheet containing the additional figures are provided to overcome the Examiner's objections. A replacement sheet containing amended Fig. 5 is also provided which shows line IX-IX along which Fig. 9 is depicted. In view of this addition and revision, reconsideration of the objection to the drawings and withdrawal thereof are earnestly solicited.

No fee is believed due. If there is any fee due the USPTO is hereby authorized to charge such fee to Deposit Account No. 10-1250.

In light of the foregoing, the application is now believed to be in proper form for allowance of all claims and notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP

By Frank J. Jordan  
Frank J. Jordan  
Reg. No. 20,456  
Attorney for Applicants

Jordan and Hamburg LLP  
122 East 42nd Street  
New York, New York 10168  
(212) 986-2340

FJJ/LIW/cj

Enc: Replacement sheet of Fig. 5 and New drawing sheet of Figs. 9 and 10.